SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES KARISSA LABRIOLA, Plaintiff, vs. CASE NO. BC 4 9 7 6 5 9 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES ARISING FAILURE TO PAY REGULAR AND OVERTIME COMPENSATION; WAITING TIME PENALTIES; FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL AND REST PERIODS; FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL AND REST PERIODS; FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL AND REST PERIODS; FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE, ITEMIZED PAY STUBS; AND VIOLATION OF B&P CODE § 17200 [DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL] Plaintiff, KARISSA LABRIOLA, complains of Defendants, and each of them, and for causes of action alleges as follows: FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION At all times mentioned herein, Defendant BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC ("Lichtenberg"), was a California limited liability company, qualified and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to	1 2 3 4 5 6	Emilia N. McAfee, Esq. (State Bar No. 23111 WE LEGAL, APC 391 N. Main Street, Ste. 208 Corona, CA 92879 PH: (951) 268-1355 FX: (877) 400-5591 Attorneys for Plaintiff KARISSA LABRIOLA	CONFORMED COPY OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Court DEC 18 2012 John A. Clarke Executive Officer/Clerk By, Deputy SHAUNYA-WESLEY	
KARISSA LABRIOLA, Plaintiff, vs. BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC., a limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants. Plaintiff, kARISSA LABRIOLA, a limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants. Plaintiff, kARISSA LABRIOLA, complains of Defendants, and each of them, and for causes of action alleges as follows: FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION 1. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC ("Lichtenberg"), was a California limited liability company, qualified and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. 2. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to		SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
KARISSA LABRIOLA, Plaintiff, vs. BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC., a limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants. Plaintiff, KARISSA LABRIOLA, complains of Defendants, and each of them, and for causes of action alleges as follows: FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION 1. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC ("Lichtenberg"), was a California limited liability company, qualified and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES ARISING FAILURE TO PAY REGULAR AND OVERTIME COMPENSATION; WAITING TIME PENALTIES; FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL AND REST PERIODS; FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE, ITEMIZED PAY STUBS; AND VIOLATION OF B&P CODE § 17200 [DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL] Plaintiff, KARISSA LABRIOLA, complains of Defendants, and each of them, and for causes of action alleges as follows: FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION 1. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC ("Lichtenberg"), was a California limited liability company, qualified and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. 2. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to	9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
Plaintiff, vs. BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC., a limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants. Plaintiff, KARISSA LABRIOLA, complains of Defendants, and each of them, and for causes of action alleges as follows: FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION A t all times mentioned herein, Defendant BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC ("Lichtenberg"), was a California limited liability company, qualified and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. Plaintiff, KARISSA LABRIOLA, complains to Defendant BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC ("Lichtenberg"), was a California limited liability company, qualified and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to	10			
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 21 22 21 22 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 28 28 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	11	KARISSA LABRIOLA, }	CASE NO. BC497659	
Plaintiff, KARISSA LABRIOLA, complains of Defendants, and each of them, and for causes of action alleges as follows: FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION 1. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC ("Lichtenberg"), was a California limited liability company, qualified and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. 2. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Plaintiff, vs. BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC., a limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES ARISING FAILURE TO PAY REGULAR AND OVERTIME COMPENSATION; WAITING TIME PENALTIES; FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL AND REST PERIODS; FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE, ITEMIZED PAY STUBS; AND VIOLATION OF B&P CODE § 17200	
FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION 1. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC ("Lichtenberg"), was a California limited liability company, qualified and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. 2. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to	21			
1. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant BRIAN LICHTENBERG, LLC ("Lichtenberg"), was a California limited liability company, qualified and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. 2. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to				
25 ("Lichtenberg"), was a California limited liability company, qualified and doing business in 26 the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. 27 2. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or 28 otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to				
the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the design industry. 27 28 2. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to	25			
27 28 2. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to	26			
otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to	27			
1	28			

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, who therefore sues such Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when they are ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that each of the Defendants designated herein as a Doe was, in some manner, responsible for the occurrences and injuries alleged herein.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FOR FAILURE TO PAY REGULAR TIME AND OVERTIME COMPENSATION AGAINST LICHTENBERG, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE

- 3. Plaintiff incorporates by reference into this first, separate, and distinct cause of action, Paragraphs 1 and 2, inclusive, as though set forth in full.
- 4. Plaintiff commenced employment with Lichtenberg on or about June 6, 2011, as a design intern. Plaintiff continued in such employment without interruption until on or about August 26, 2011.
- 5. Pursuant to Industrial Welfare Commission Order 4-2001, for three years preceding the filing of this lawsuit, Defendants were required to compensate Plaintiff for all regular wages and overtime, which is calculated at one and one-half (11/2) times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40) hours per week, and two (2) times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours per day.
- 6. Plaintiff was a nonexempt employee entitled to the protections of Industrial Welfare Commission Order No. 4-2001. During the course of Plaintiff's employment, Defendants and each of them, failed to compensate Plaintiff for regular time and overtime hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40) hours per week and double-time hours for hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours per day, as required by the aforementioned labor regulations.
 - 7. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of the unlawful conduct of

Lichtenberg, and Does 1 through 10, inclusive, as alleged, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount according to proof at time of trial.

8. Defendants' conduct described herein violates Labor Code §§ 510, 558, 1194, and 1198. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed, penalties, plus interest, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit. Furthermore, pursuant to Labor Code § 1194.2, Plaintiff is entitled to additional liquidated damages in an amount equal to the unpaid regular time wages owed her.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

FOR WAITING TIME PENALTIES PURSUANT TO LABOR CODE § 203 AGAINST LICHTENBERG, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE

- 9. Plaintiff incorporates by reference into this second, separate, and distinct cause of action, Paragraphs 1, 2, and 4, inclusive, as though set forth in full.
- 10. Defendants, and each of them, willfully refused and continue to refuse, to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation in a timely manner, as required by Labor Code § 203. Plaintiff therefore requests restitution and penalties as provided by Labor Code § 203.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL AND REST PERIODS AGAINST LICHTENBERG, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE

- 11. Plaintiff incorporates by reference into this third, separate, and distinct cause of action, Paragraphs 1, 2, and 4, inclusive, as though set forth in full.
- 12. Pursuant to Industrial Welfare Commission Orders and Labor Code § 226.7, Defendants were required to provide Plaintiff with meal and rest periods during the period of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants.
- 13. Plaintiff was a nonexempt employee entitled to the protections of Industrial Welfare Commission Order No. 4-2001. During the course of Plaintiff's employment,

Defendants and each of them, failed to provide Plaintiff with meal and rest periods, as required by the aforementioned labor regulations.

14. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, as alleged, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount according to proof at time of trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FAILURE TO ACCURATE, ITEMIZED PAY STUBS AGAINST LICHTENBERG, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference into this fourth, separate, and distinct cause of action, Paragraphs 1, 2, and 4, inclusive, as though set forth in full.
- 16. Labor Code § 226 requires that employers furnish each employee at the time of each payment of wages with a statement indicating gross wages earned, total hours worked, all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period, itemized deductions, net wages earned, the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is being paid, the employee's name and social security number, and the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer. Wage deductions are to be recorded in ink and kept on file by the employer for at least three years.
- 17. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff is informed and believe and on that basis alleges that Defendants knowingly and intentionally failed to provide accurate itemized statements in the form and manner specified in Labor Code § 226 with regard to the labor performed by Plaintiff.
- 18. As a result of Defendants' violations of the Labor Code, Plaintiff is entitled to recover fifty dollars (\$50.00) for the first violation, and one hundred dollars (\$100.00) for each subsequent violation, not to exceed \$4,000.00, pursuant to Labor Code § 226(e), in an amount to be determined at trial.
 - 19. Pursuant to Labor Code § 226(e), Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs as a

result of these violations.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FOR VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200 AGAINST LICHTENBERG, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference into this fifth, separate, and distinct cause of action, Paragraphs 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 16, 17, inclusive, as though set forth in full.
- 21. By violating the foregoing statutes and regulations, the acts of Defendants constitute unfair and unlawful business practices under Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.
- 22. Defendants' violation of California's wage and hour laws constitute a business practice because it was done repeatedly over a significant period of time and in a systematic manner to the detriment of Plaintiff.
- 23. For the four (4) years preceding the filing of this action, Plaintiff has suffered damages and request damages and/or restitution of all monies and profits to be disgorged from Defendants in an amount according to proof at time of trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages;
- 2. For restitution of all monies due to Plaintiff and disgorgement of profits from the unlawful business practices of Defendants;
 - 3. For liquidated damages pursuant to Labor Code § 1194.2;
 - 4. For waiting time penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 203;
 - 5. For damages and penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 226;
 - 6. For penalties pursuant to Labor Code §§ 510 and 558;
 - 7. For interest accrued to date;

1	8. For costs of suit incurred herein;	
2	9. For attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Labor Code §§ 218.5, 1194, 2802, and	
3	California Government Code § 12965(b); and	
4	10. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
5		
6	DATED: December 10, 2012 WE Legal, APC	
7		
8	By: Emilianitali	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Karissa Labriola	
10	Karissa Labriola	
11		
12	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
13	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of the foregoing causes of action.	
14		
15	DATED: December 10, 2012 WE Legal, APC	
16		
17	By:	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff Karissa Labriola	
19	Transcu Duoriou	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		